

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,463	08/01/2001	Yongju Jung	1567.1014	2888	
49455	7590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER		
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300		DOVE, TRA	DOVE, TRACY MAE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		1745		
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/918,463	JUNG ET AL.	. •
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tracy Dove	1745	

The MAILING DATE of this communication app THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		1745	
		rorrespondence add	
THE REPLY ELLED 20 April 2005 FALLS TO PLACE THIS AS		son espondence add	ress
THE REPORT FREE STATES TO LEASE THIS AL	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a let (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) 	on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reploit of the final rejection. It is discovered to the mailing date of the mail of the mailing date of	of Appeal. To avoid about a fidavit, or other evidence with 37 Control of the filed within the final rejection, whichever the final rejection.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the er is later. In no
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f) .		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. statutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO low);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be 	s): The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of	record appear to be o	vercome.
the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable il submitted in a separate	, umery med amendm	ent canceling
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16,19-26 and 32-35.		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and the second of the second		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir antered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s 13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment filed on 11/10/04 has narrowed to scope of the claimed invention, which would require further consideration and/or search. The amendment to at least claim 1 would require further consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd, because of a broad limitation followed by a narrow limitation reqarding the weak polar solvent. The amendments would require further consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st, paragraph. Note Chu teaches the solvent may include dialkyl carbonates (weak polar solvent) and/or dimethoxyethane (weak polar solvent) Chu is incorporated by reference into Nimon and Katz..

PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/05